

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593  
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
COMPANY,

USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,  
LLC,<sup>1</sup>

USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST  
DEED FUND, LLC,

USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED  
FUND, LLC,<sup>2</sup>

USA SECURITIES, LLC,<sup>3</sup>  
Debtors.

**Affects:**

- ☐ All Debtors
- ☒ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- ☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- ☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- ☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR  
Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR<sup>3</sup>

**CHAPTER 11**

Jointly Administered Under Case No.  
BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF  
USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF  
CLAIM BASED ENTIRELY UPON  
INVESTMENT IN MARLTON  
SQUARE LOAN**

**Date of Hearing: June 14, 2011**

**Time of Hearing: 10:30 a.m.**

**Estimated Time for hearing: 10 min.**

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A**. These claims were filed by

<sup>1</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.

<sup>2</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.

investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) based upon an investment in a loan to MS Acquisition Company, LLC (“Borrower”). This loan was sometimes referred to as the “Marlton Square Loan” and that is how the Trust will refer to it here. This Objection is supported by the Court’s record and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Marlton Square Loan. (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE DIRECT LENDERS’ RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE MARLTON SQUARE LOAN OR TO SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE SALE OF THE REAL PROPERTY SECURING THE MARLTON SQUARE LOAN.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. BACKGROUND FACTS

#### a. The USACM Bankruptcy

On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor’s post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as “Direct Lenders” in USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’ Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No. 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC (“Compass”), including the Marlton Square Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC, et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that “Silar Advisors, LP (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC (“Asset Resolution”) and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset Resolution as a ‘single purpose entity,’ conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of Compass, including the Purchased Assets.” (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-

RCJ, along with certain affiliates.<sup>4</sup> William A. Leonard, Jr. was appointed trustee in the Asset Resolution case. On August 4, 2010, Commercial Mortgage Managers, Inc. was appointed as the servicer for the Marlton Square Loan Direct Lenders by order of the court in the Asset Resolution case.

The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. The Trust has also been in contract with Cross FLC about certain loans that it is servicing, but Cross FLC is not servicing the Marlton Square Loan.<sup>5</sup> The following is the extent of the USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the Marlton Square Loan.

#### **b. The Marlton Square Loan**

USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding for an acquisition and development loan to a borrower identified as "Marlton Square, LLC." A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The total loan amount proposed was \$30,000,000. The Offer Sheet described the investment as a "First Trust Deed Investment" and noted that the investment would be secured by a first deed of trust on 22 acres for a mixed use redevelopment located at the intersection of Martin Luther King Drive and Marlton Drive

<sup>4</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC, Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP Marlton Square SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

<sup>5</sup> By Order entered on July 19, 2010 by the Hon. Robert C. Jones in United States Bankruptcy Court (Case No. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ), the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to Cross, FLS. The Marlton Square Loan however, was not among the loans whose servicing rights were transferred to Cross, FLS. This loan was purportedly transferred to an entity controlled by David Rentz

1 in Los Angeles, California. The loan was intended to acquire the property, demolish the  
2 existing structure(s), and prepare the site for resale to developers.

3 On August 11, 2005, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including the  
4 Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a “Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust”  
5 (the “Note”) and a Construction Loan Agreement. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.) The Note and  
6 Loan Agreement provided for a loan up to the principal amount of \$30,000,000. The Note  
7 was secured by a “Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture  
8 Filing” (“Deed of Trust”) that was recorded in the official records of Los Angeles  
9 County, California on September 19, 2005, along with subsequent amendments thereto.  
10 The Note also appears to be secured by a Guaranty signed by Christopher Hammond,  
11 Marlton Square Associates, LLC, and Capital Vision Equities, LLC. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.)

12 The USACM “Loan Summary” dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows  
13 that Borrower was “Non-performing” on the Note as of July 31, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶  
14 6.) During this bankruptcy case through the transfer of servicing to Compass, USACM  
15 treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in  
16 accordance with this Court’s orders and the confirmed Plan.

17 Counsel for the Trustee, based upon a review of documents recorded with the Los  
18 Angeles County Recorders Office, has determined that the property securing the loan was  
19 sold via trustee’s sale on December 29, 2010 to Marlton Recovery Partners, LLC, a  
20 California limited liability company. Pursuant to the trustee’s deed, the property was sold  
21 to Marlton Recovery Partners, LLC for \$20,073,583.58. At the time, the amount of the  
22 unpaid debt together with costs was \$49,349,414.29.

### 23 c. The Marlton Square Claims

24 **Exhibit A**, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be  
25 based upon an investment in the Marlton Square Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A**  
26 identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant’s address, the total

amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an investment in the Marlton Square Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant. (Burr Declaration ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the “Marlton Square Claims.” As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of the proof of claim for each of the claims referenced in **Exhibit A** are attached as **Exhibit C**.

## II. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

## III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff’d*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

1           **IV. THE OBJECTION**

2           The Marlton Square Loan appears to have been a legitimate, arms-length  
3 transaction with a third party borrower, unrelated to USACM or its principals. In addition,  
4 the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a promissory note secured by a lien  
5 on real property.

6           USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default, any decrease in the value of the  
7 collateral, or the ultimate loss on the sale of the underlying collateral through the Trustee's  
8 sale.

9           The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have  
10 breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Marlton Square  
11 Loan. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the Marlton  
12 Square Loan or take any other action during its servicing of the loan.

13           **V. CONCLUSION**

14           The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims against  
15 USACM listed in Exhibit A in full because those claims are based entirely upon an  
16 investment in the Marlton Square Loan. This objection concerns only the Marlton Square  
17 Loan and not any other claims of any of the Direct Lenders. The USACM Trust also  
18 requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

19           Dated May 9, 2011

20   LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

21  
22   By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)  
23   Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593  
24   John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)  
25   Marvin Ruth, NV 10979  
26   3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
   Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
   E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com  
   Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust

Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
portion of Exhibits mailed by first  
class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
5/9/2011, to all parties listed on Exhibit  
A attached.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

s/ Marilyn Schoenike  
Marilyn Schoenike